

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/575,434	KUNZ, STEFAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY	1651	

All Participants:

(1) SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY.

(2) Gregory LaPointe.

Date of Interview: 24 July 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 9:30 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections made under 35 USC 103 in the Office action mailed on July 9, 2008.

Claims discussed:

1,2,4-8,10 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Those cited in the Office action mailed on July 9, 2008.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

SRM proposed amendments to the claims that would place the case in condition for allowance. GL gave approval for the proposed amendments.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sheridan MacAuley/
Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)